

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COLUMBIA DIVISION

Precious Brown,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	Civil Action No. 3:13-cv-1228
)	
Carolina Children's Home,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

ORDER

On May 6, 2013, the Plaintiff, Precious Brown¹, filed a complaint asserting claims under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and 42 U.S.C. § 2000(e) et seq. (Doc. #1). The matter now comes before this Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (“the Report”) filed by Magistrate Judge Thomas E. Rogers, III, to whom this case was previously assigned. In the Report, the Magistrate Judge recommends that Plaintiff’s complaint be dismissed pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for failure to prosecute. (Doc. #55). Objections were due by September 2, 2014. Plaintiff has filed no objections to the Report.

This Court is charged with conducting a de novo review of any portion of the Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendation to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that Report. 28 U.S.C. § 636. In the absence of objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, this Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

¹ Plaintiff was initially represented by counsel but counsel moved to be relieved based on Plaintiff’s failure to communicate. (See Doc. #5).

The Court has carefully reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation. For the reasons articulated by the Magistrate Judge, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, (Doc. #55), is **ACCEPTED** and this action is **DISMISSED** for failure to prosecute.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Terry L. Wooten
Chief United States District Judge

September 29, 2014
Columbia, South Carolina